



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES-DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United Status Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/536,024	03/27/2000	Mitsunobu Yoshida	0039-7661-2SRD	4024
22850	7590 03/12/2003	9		
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.			EXAMINER	
1940 DUKE STREET ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			AKKAPEDDI	, PRASAD R
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2871	
			DATE MAILED: 03/12/2003	<b>(</b>

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		09/536,024	YOSHIDA, MITSUNOBU			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Prasad R Akkapeddi	2871			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	5	orrespondence address			
Period fo	• •					
THE I - External after - If the - If NC - Failu - Any I	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It is period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	66(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 D	December 2002				
2a)⊠		s action is non-final.				
3)	/		osecution as to the merits is			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
·	on of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-28,49 and 50</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)🛛	Claim(s) <u>1-28,49 and 50</u> is/are rejected.					
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
-	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or on Papers	election requirement.				
	The specification is objected to by the Examiner					
· —	•		miner			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
,-	Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.				
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
* 5	Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bur see the attached detailed Office action for a list of the prior application for a list of the attached detailed Office action for a list of the prior action for	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).				
		•				
<ul> <li>14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).</li> <li>a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.</li> </ul>						
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachmen	-					
2) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal F	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)			
S Patent and To	1.00					

Application/Control Number: 09/536,024

Art Unit: 2871

## DETAILED ACTION

## Response to Amendment

1. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

## Response to Arguments

- 2. Applicant's arguments filed 12/11/2002 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The original rejections as stated in the Office action dated June 24, 2002 are still valid. Following is a response by the examiner to the applicant's arguments:
  - (a) Stern does teach (Col. 6, lines 1-6) "controlled release of light enables the production of a viewable image".
- 3. (b) Claims 1-28 and 49-50 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Stern (U.S.Patent No. 5,771,321)

Application/Control Number: 09/536,024

Art Unit: 2871

4. Applicant's argument No. 1 (Page 7, lines 17-19): Stern does not teach or suggest a structure or method in which a light component having directivity can be output from the light trap.

Examiner's response to argument No. 1: Stern in (Col. 6, lines 1-6) teaches 'controlled release of light'. Purely scattered light cannot be controlled. Hence controlled release has some directivity associated with it. Besides, Stern discloses a light tap (24) having a smooth front surface (30) and a reflective back scatter surface (32) and in Fig. 3B, Stern clearly discloses that the light transmits at the interface of (26) and (30) having a specific directivity and scatters only at the surface (32). Hence the light transmitting member (12) does transmit light having specific 'directivity' and Stern's invention does include a structure in which a light component having directivity can be output from a light tap.

5. Applicant's argument No. 2(Page 7, lines 19-20): Stern does not teach or suggest the claimed structure and method in which the projection-type image display is possible.

Examiner's response to argument No. 2: Nowhere in the instant claims a 'projection type image display' is recited.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Prasad R Akkapeddi whose telephone number is 703-305-4767. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:00AM to 5:30PM M-Th.

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 09/536,024

Art Unit: 2871

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert H Kim can be reached on 703-305-3492. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9318 for regular communications and 703-872-9319 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0530.

March 10, 2003